

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/595,070	Applicant(s) MORI ET AL.	
	Examiner YURIY SEMENENKO	Art Unit 2841	

All Participants:

(1) YURIY SEMENENKO.

(2) ANTHONY CANALE
(Reg. No. 51526).

Date of Interview: 27 July 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Y. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2841

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner notes that in lines 14-15 of the claim 1 the limitations "said land conducting layer" needs to be changed to - said land conductor layer- for constituency with line 3 for the claim 1.
Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by applicant's representative